Agenda Guidance for Sunshine Law Boards (Revised August 2024)

Introduction

The state Office of Information Practices ("OIP") is providing this guidance on how to craft agendas under the Sunshine Law to give Sunshine Law boards useful information and examples to follow in their efforts to serve the public and comply with the law's requirements. See HRS chapter 92, Part I. This guidance is intended to provide boards with a model for crafting a good, informative agenda for meetings. It is not intended to define the minimum level of detail an agenda should contain to provide legally adequate notice of a particular item under the Sunshine Law, but rather is intended to assist boards in understanding what makes an agenda informative and easily understood by the public at large. In other words, a board following these guidelines can feel confident that its agendas will withstand OIP's scrutiny and go beyond the minimum requirements to provide the public with helpful and meaningful information about what the board intends to consider at its upcoming meeting.

Attached are good and bad examples of agendas of a hypothetical Shrimp Board. Also attached is a checklist for a Sunshine Law agenda.

Legal Requirements for a Notice of Meeting

The Sunshine Law requires that a notice of meeting must "include an agenda which lists all of the items to be considered at the forthcoming meeting; the date, time, and place of the meeting; the board's electronic and postal contact information for submission of testimony before the meeting; instructions on how to request an auxiliary aid or service or an accommodation due to a disability, including a response deadline, if one is provided, that is reasonable; and in the case of an executive meeting the purpose shall be stated." HRS § 92-7(a). More specifically, "the Sunshine Law requires an agenda for a public meeting to be sufficiently detailed so as to provide the public with reasonable notice of what the board intends to consider. The statute's notice requirement is intended to, among other things, give interested members of the public enough information so that they can decide whether to participate in the meeting." OIP Op. Ltr. No. 03-22 at 6 (emphasis added). Thus, as further explained below, OIP recommends that agendas set forth brief descriptions of agenda items instead of just the titles of documents or names of persons speaking on the agenda items. However, OIP does not interpret the statute to require that a board identify the specific action that it intends to take with respect to each agenda item. See OIP Op. Ltr. No. 07-06 at 3.

A board can only discuss, deliberate, act on, or otherwise consider matters that were included on the board's agenda, so the agenda as filed will generally define and limit the issues the board can consider at the meeting.

A board does have a limited ability to add minor items to its agenda at a meeting, which requires a 2/3 vote of a board's total membership (including members not present or membership slots not filled) to add an item that is not of reasonably major importance and does not affect a significant number of persons. Note that an item of reasonably major importance that affects a significant number of persons cannot be added to an agenda. Given the difficulty of adding an agenda item, it is rarely practical to add items to an agenda within six days of a meeting or at the meeting.

When creating an agenda, a board should not assume that the public will be familiar with its issues and areas of concern beyond what could reasonably be expected of a member of the general community, and it should not assume familiarity with ongoing board issues or specialized jargon. Nor can a board expect members of the public to read an external document, such as a legislative bill or a report or letter available at the board's office, in order to understand what a board plans to discuss at its meeting. Rather, the **agenda must stand by itself** in informing members of the public of what topics the board plans to consider.

General Tips

To make its descriptions of agenda items more informative to the public at large, a board should **not use shortcuts or jargon** that may be readily understandable to the board and its staff, and even to members of the public who actively follow the board, but which may not be known by the general public. A board should consider the intended reader of its agenda as being a reasonably well-informed member of the general public — a member of the public who watches the local news or reads the newspaper, and one who lives in or is familiar with the locality that the board serves, but is still a member of the general public rather than one of the board's regular attendees.

A board should also <u>not</u> use "placeholders," namely terms that are not meaningful to the public but are merely intended to allow the board to consider matters of which it is not specifically aware at the time that the notice is filed. Rather, the board must know the specific matters that it intends to consider at the time that the notice is filed and the agenda must reflect those specific matters.

The following are some	examples of	shortcuts,	placeholders,	and of	ther
things to avoid in agenda listing	gs:				

☐ Avoid jargon or acronyms

- o "SMAP" instead, use "Special Management Area Permit"
- "Restructuring of Instructional Program and Redesignation of Facilities: Twain Elementary School" – use "School Closure: Twain Elementary School"
- "BIMRS" use "Bridge Incident Management and Response System."

☐ Avoid brief references to issues without details

- "Miller claim" use "Claims against the County: Robert W.
 Miller, claim for \$1500 damage to automobile due to pothole"
- "Hauula site" use "Proposed educational facility at 654 Okole Maluna Road, Hauula."

□ Avoid references to another document without specifying the subject matter

- "Bill No. 1234" use "House Bill No. 1234, 'A Bill for an Act Regarding Sand Reclamation,' authorizing the Department of Land and Natural Resources to seize sand from preschool sandboxes"
- "Corr. No. 08-95" use "Correspondence from the Publisher's Clearinghouse Sweepstakes advising that the Council may already have won \$5 million (No. 08-95)"
- "Aihualama Stream Master Plan (available in board's office)" use
 "Aihualama Stream Master Plan: proposal to raise fees on ducks, rats, and other stream users, to install landscaping along culverts, to permit limited radio-controlled boating activities, and to install a radio-controlled boat launch."

□ Avoid catchalls or placeholders used as a stand-alone item, not followed by specific items to be considered

- o "Grants and contracts"
- o "Correspondence"
- o "Permit applications"
- o "New business."

One simple way to check whether a board's proposed agenda gives members of the general public enough information about what will be considered to allow them to decide whether to attend and testify is the "neighbor test": show the proposed agenda to a neighbor (or spouse or other person not particularly familiar with the board) and ask if he or she can tell what subjects the board will be talking about at the meeting. If not, the agenda probably needs further clarification.

Giving Notice of Reports as Agenda Items

Many boards include reports of one type or another in their meetings,

and the best way to notice a report will usually depend on who is reporting, and for what purpose. As with any other agenda item, a board cannot discuss, act on, or otherwise consider an issue being reported on if that issue is not described with sufficient detail on the agenda. For this reason, if a board wants to be able to discuss or act on a report's contents, then the agenda must set out the topics being reported on with adequate specificity.

1. Reports by Board Members or Staff

When the board expects to hear a report made by board members, the subject matter of the report must always be specifically identified because even without any further discussion, those members' presentation of the report to the rest of the board would constitute board consideration of the issue. Examples might include a subcommittee's report to the full board, a Chair's Report, or the report back to the board made by a permitted interaction group (also known as a "PIG" or investigative task force) under section 92-2.5(b)(1), HRS. In each of these situations, as well as in any other situation where a board member is reporting to the board, all topics that will be included in the report must be described on the agenda with enough detail to allow the public to understand that those topics will be discussed.

When a board hears a report from its own staff or administrator, the administrator's presentation of the report does not automatically constitute board consideration of the issue in the same way that presentation of a report by a board member would be. A board could conceivably listen without comment to a report on a matter that the board did not intend to take up or act on, and then move on without discussion. Nonetheless, in most instances the board will want to at least have the option of actually discussing and acting on the topics raised in a report by its own staff, and a board can reasonably ask its own staff to provide details about what will be reported on early enough to include those details in the agenda. Similarly, when a board hears a report from a government office or agency over which the board has some oversight, the board will generally want the ability to discuss the matters reported on and can reasonably ask the agency to provide details about the report in advance to be able to include the details in the agenda. Consequently, it is a good practice to have the agenda specifically identify the subject matter of any report to the board, so that the board can ask questions, engage in discussion, and possibly take action on the report.

2. Reports by Third Parties

When a board hears a report from a third party—such as a developer's representative reporting on a project seeking board approval, an expert presenting information on a subject of general background interest to the board, or a police department representative reporting crime for the last month to a neighborhood focused board—the board may or may not anticipate the need to take up and consider the issues being reported on. But where the board does want to be able to discuss the matters reported, it should **ask the presenter to provide in advance the specific subjects** that will be reported so as to include their description in the agenda.

A board should also bear in mind that **how an agenda item is framed** will determine the extent of the testimony, discussion, and deliberation of that item. A broadly framed description of the issue that is the subject of a report could allow the board to discuss the issue broadly, but would also require the board to allow testimony on an equally broad range of aspects of the issue. Thus, for example, an agenda item such as

Developer's report

would not give adequate notice of the topic being reported, and would not allow the board to consider the topic being reported at all. An agenda item such as

Developer's report, Pohaku Estates residential project, Niu Valley, TMK 123-4567

could allow board discussion of the project generally, but would also allow members of the public to testify on all aspects of the project. Even if the board's actual intent was to focus on the developer's traffic mitigation plans, the board would still be required to hear public testimony on the environmental hazards of the development, its effect on neighbors' views, and other aspects of interest to testifiers. So, if the board wanted to hear about the traffic mitigation plans specifically and did not plan to consider (or hear testimony on) other aspects of the project, an agenda item such as described below would better serve the board's needs.

Developer's report, Pohaku Estates residential project, Niu Valley, TMK 123-4567:

Traffic mitigation strategies

By narrowly tailoring the agenda item, the board can limit the testimony to that item, and must also limit its discussion and deliberation to that narrowly described item, *e.g.*, traffic mitigation strategies.

In some instances, though, a report from a third party is expected to be

purely informational and the board does not expect to discuss the matters raised in it and may not even know ahead of time what topics will be covered. In such a case, the board may prefer to simply list

Monthly report by representative of Honolulu Police Department

or

Briefing by Dr. Richard Pritchard of the H.H.H. Institute regarding new federal regulations for mental health facilities

with the understanding that if the board should become interested in considering a specific issue reported on, it would wait to discuss it at the next meeting when the issue was properly included on the agenda.

Giving Notice of Executive Sessions

When a board lists agenda items that it anticipates discussing in executive session, it is required to note on its agenda that it anticipates an executive session and the purpose for which the executive session is anticipated. Thus, an executive session agenda item will include the **specific matter to be discussed**, and a statement that the **board anticipates going into executive session** for the item, and the **executive session purpose** (from the list in section 92-5(a), HRS) that allows the board to discuss the item in executive session. The full agenda item would read something like:

Purchase of vacant lot at 55-987 Kamehameha Hwy, TMK 12-345: Board anticipates going into executive session pursuant to section 92-5(a)(3), to discuss the authority of Board's negotiator with respect to the purchase.

In some instances, a board may find it challenging to write a description of the topic to be discussed in the executive session that adequately notifies the public of what the board will consider, without revealing information that the executive session is intended to protect. This most typically arises when an executive session is intended to protect the privacy of an individual who is applying for a position, or who is the subject of a complaint or possible disciplinary action. Even though the public will not be attending the executive session itself, **members of the public still have the right to submit testimony** on the item, and thus the board should do its best to give the public enough detail to allow for meaningful public testimony, while still protecting any information the executive session is intended to protect. For example, a description such as,

Complaint against an officer

is too vague to allow for meaningful testimony. An agenda description such as

Complaint against Officer Kawika Doe: Allegation that Officer Doe swore at driver and pushed a passenger during traffic stop

is too specific, as it contains the identity of an employee for whom disciplinary action is being considered, which the executive session is intended to protect. However, the board could take a middle ground with a description such as

Complaint against an officer: Allegation that an officer swore at driver and pushed a passenger during traffic stop.

This description would provide the public with information that could be used to create meaningful testimony – people would at least know to focus on police behavior at traffic stops – without revealing the identity of the officer in question.

Giving Notice of Opportunity for Public Testimony or General Public Comments

An agenda must list "the board's electronic and postal contact information for submission of testimony before the meeting." HRS § 92-7. Because the Sunshine Law requires a board to allow public testimony on every agenda item, it is not necessary for a board's agenda to specifically state that public testimony will be allowed, or to list public testimony as an agenda item. Regardless of whether the agenda actually lists an opportunity for public testimony, public testimony must still be allowed. However, so long as a board hears testimony on any given item before considering it and the board does not take all testimony at the beginning of the meeting, a board may choose to specifically state when, during the course of the meeting, testimony will be taken and make this timing clear to the public. For example, a board may state on its agenda that most testimony will be taken immediately before each agenda item but testimony for a particular group of related agenda items will be taken together (allowing sufficient time per item per person). Alternatively, a board may state that there will be a special testimony period at the beginning of the meeting to accommodate those who cannot remain for the entire meeting but testimony from all other interested persons will be taken immediately before each agenda item.

Some boards choose to hear not just public testimony on every agenda item as is required by the Sunshine Law, but also statements from the public on items that are not on the agenda. A board that has a "Community Concerns," "Statements from the Public," "Open Forum" or a similar "soapbox" or general

public comment period during its meeting obviously will not know ahead of time what specific issues will be brought up during that period, and so cannot list those specific issues on its agenda. For this reason, when the board is hearing the public's concerns during the comment period, the board's members should keep in mind that they cannot discuss or consider those concerns until such time as those concerns are properly on the board's agenda. In order to inform the public that matters raised during the comment period may not be immediately discussed by the board at the noticed meeting, the agenda could include a qualifier for the comment period such as, "Public comment on issues not on the agenda, for consideration for Board's agenda at the next meeting,"

At the meeting, if a board finds that it would like to take up and consider an issue raised during the comment period, then it has the option of either (1) telling the member of the public that the item will be considered for a future agenda, or (2) adding an item to the current agenda, but only if the board meets statutory requirements about the item's importance and obtains approval of 2/3 of the board's full membership. See HRS § 92-7(d).

Organization of Agendas

As listed on page 1, a board's notice is required to include a number of informational items about the meeting in addition to its actual agenda of topics to be considered. The amount of information required can make a notice confusing for people who may want to go straight to the list of topics, or straight to the meeting location information, or straight to the testimony instructions. For this reason, boards should give thought to making it easier for a member of the public to find the parts of the notice he or she is interested in.

One way to make an agenda easier to follow is to put related information together. For instance, put the instructions for written testimony and any oral testimony instructions together or in close succession. For a remote meeting, put the remote meeting link(s) and the physical location close together rather than putting one in the heading and listing the other down among other informational items.

For a long meeting notice, a board should consider stating up front where various information is, to help the reader quickly find what he or she is looking for. If informational items and instructions are set out before the list of meeting topics, for example, the notice could state up front that the list of topics for discussion, i.e., agenda items, begins on page three. Similarly, if informational items and instructions are at the end, the notice could state up front where they begin.

Sample Agendas

The entirely fictional State Board of Shrimp Affairs, which OIP created for

training purposes, has two sample notices for its meeting of June 31, 2025, which are attached to the end of this guidance and were also used as examples for the Sunshine Law basic training found on OIP's website at oip.hawaii.gov.
In our training scenario, the first notice (which has 20 agenda items) was filed eight days before the meeting; the Shrimp Board's chair called OIP with questions about the agenda; and a revised version of the notice (which has 17 agenda items) was then filed six days before the meeting. Version 1 is an example of an agenda with various shortcomings, which does not adequately notify the public of what the board will consider; in other words, **Version 1 is not meant to be a model** to follow. Version 2 is an example of how the same notice could look with the problems fixed, mostly by adding additional detail. **Version 2 can thus serve as a model** for what level of description is adequate to notice different types of items.

First, the notice is for a remote meeting that will be livestreamed with a separate link for testimony, so Version 2 has added the link to the actual livestream in place of the original link to the Shrimp Board's YouTube channel (which would have required the public to hunt for the right video). Version 2 has also added the link to testify remotely to the notice as the Sunshine Law requires, rather than telling people to contact the board by a set deadline to get the link. Version 2 added the physical meeting location, which is required even for a remote meeting. Version 2 added the instructions to request an accommodation, as required for every meeting notice. Although Version 1 did include the Shrimp Board's contact information in its letterhead, which arguably met the Sunshine Law's requirement to include contact information for written testimony, Version 2 added separate testimony instructions making clear how to provide either written or oral testimony. Version 2 also added information about where to find meeting materials and other information about the Shrimp Board's meetings, which although not required by the Sunshine Law is helpful for the public.

Most of the improvements to the agenda items in Version 2 consist of additional detail. Thus, for instance, items that were shown as "placeholders" without specifics in Version 1 ('Aquaculture License Applications, if any,' 'Amendment to Rules of the State Board of Shrimp Affairs,' and others) have turned into category headers, with specific items listed under them. In the listing of specific items, please note that the descriptions of proposed legislation or rule changes identify not only the bill number or the section affected, but also the title and a brief description of what the bill or rule change would do.

The Shrimp Administrator's report from Version 1 was revised by adding specific topics, since the Shrimp Board is likely to want the ability to discuss the issues its Administrator is reporting on. Note also that an item formerly listed for executive session, "Shrimp Administrator -- Strategic Planning," has been given more detail and a different place in the Shrimp Administrator's report, since strategic planning does not fall under one of the permitted purposes for

holding an executive session. Some of the other executive session items in Version 1 (approval to retain special counsel; revocation of Pilau Bar & Grille's pupu license) were eliminated from Version 2, because those items do not appear to fit an executive session purpose.

One item that does remain in executive session, "Hiring of Secretary/Fry Cook II," has been changed in two ways. First, while Version 1 listed only the purpose for the executive session, Version 2 also states the actual subject matter to be considered in the executive session. Second, Version 2 lists the subject matter first, and then notes that the board anticipates hearing the item in executive session for the listed purpose.

Because a board must publicly vote to go into executive session (with 2/3 of members present and at least a majority of the full membership in favor), the board may anticipate going into executive session but cannot be certain that it will be able to do so until the vote on the question is taken at the meeting. For this reason, OIP recommends that executive sessions be listed as "anticipated."

An item that was listed only as "Correspondence" in Version 1 has been amended in Version 2 to add not only the letter's date and sender, but also to specify the topic of the letter. As discussed above, an agenda must stand on its own and cannot require the public to consult extrinsic documents to understand it. For this reason, when a piece of correspondence is listed as an agenda item, the description must include the subject matter of the correspondence if the description is to be meaningful to the public.

Finally, this meeting concludes with a soapbox period. As discussed above, because the board does not know what concerns members of the public will raise during such a session, there are no specific items for discussion listed on the agenda. However, Version 2 has added a **qualifier**, "Public comment on issues not on the agenda, for consideration for Board's agenda at the next meeting," to make it clearer to members of the public that matters raised during the comment period will not be immediately taken up by the board.

Notice Checklist

A checklist is attached as a convenient reminder of the Sunshine Law requirements for a public meeting notice.

Version 1: What not to do



STATE BOARD OF SHRIMP AFFAIRS

AGENDA FOR SEVENTH MEETING FRIDAY, JUNE 31, 2025 4:30 P.M.

This meeting will be conducted as a remote meeting. It can be viewed on the Board's YouTube channel at https://youtube.com/Shrimp. If you would like to present remote oral testimony, please email shrimp@hawaii.gov by June 29 to request the link.

Prawn Vista Pier 38 Makai Side Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Chair: Giovanni Brine Members: Goby Maguire Tiger Shrimp Prawn Travolta S. Scampi

Phone: 808-588-8888 Fax: 808-588-8889 E-mail: shrimp@hawaii.gov Web: www.shrimp.hawaii.gov

- 1. Call to Order, Public Notice, Quorum
- 2. Approval of Meeting Minutes
- 3. Shrimp Administrator's Report
- 4. Approval of Aquaculture License Applications, if any
- 5. Amendment to Rules of the State Board of Shrimp Affairs
- 6. Proposed Legislation
- Executive Session:

Shrimp Administrator- Strategic Planning

- Executive Session: Pursuant to Haw. Rev. Stat. § § 92-4 and 92-5(a)(4), the purpose of this executive session is to request approval to retain special counsel to represent the Board in <u>Heinz Cocktail Sauce v. State Board of Shrimp Affairs</u>.
- 9. Executive session: Pursuant to Haw. Rev. Stat. §§ 92-4 and 92-5(a)(4), the purpose of this executive session is discussion/recommendation for Board action on revocation of coconutcrusted shrimp pupu license for Pilau Bar & Grille.
- 10. Executive session: Pursuant to Haw. Rev. Stat. §§ 92-4 and 92-5(a)(2), the purpose of this executive session is to consider the hire, evaluation, dismissal, or discipline or an officer or employee where consideration of matters affecting privacy will be involved.
- 11. Gifts, Grants, and Contracts
- 12. Correspondence
- 13. Unfinished Business
- 14. Scope
- 15. Report
- 16. New Business
- 17. Announcements
- 18. Open Forum
- Adjournment

Version 2: What to do (page one)



STATE BOARD OF SHRIMP AFFAIRS

AGENDA FOR SEVENTH MEETING

FRIDAY, JUNE 31, 2025 4:30 P.M.

Meeting Locations:

Physical Location—Large Fishtank, Tamashiro Market, 802 N. King Street, Honolulu, HI, 96817
Link to View Remotely —
https://youtube.com/shrimp/a843789as9dx
Link to Testify Remotely —
https://zoom.us/098wer09ih342810

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Chair: Giovanni Brine

Pier 38 Makai Side

Members: Goby Maguire Tiger Shrimp Prawn Travolta S. Scampi

Phone: 808-588-8888 Fax: 808-588-8889 E-mail: shrimp@hawaii.gov Web: www.shrimp.hawaii.gov

Additional meeting information and instructions follow agenda on page 2.

- 1. Call to Order, Public Notice, Quorum
- 2. Approval of Meeting Minutes of April 1, 2025
- 3. Shrimp Administrator's Report:

Illegal prawn fighting
Staff recruitment efforts
Projected shrimp economic activity in 2035

Strategic Planning for 2035

Aquaculture License Applications:
 Prawn with the Wind Shrimp Pond, South Point 'Opae in the Sky Hydroponic Farm, Maunaloa Shrimp My Ride Mobile Aquafarm, Kahuku to Honolulu

- 5. Amendment to Rules of the State Board of Shrimp Affairs: Hawaii Fake Administrative Rule (HFAR) 12: Shrimp Size Designations—to prohibit use of the term "jumbo" HFAR 17: "Salt-and-Pepper Shrimp" — to allow restaurants to use low sodium salt in preparation
- 6. Proposed Legislation:

House Bill 3015, Relating to Crustaceans: Appropriates funding for treatment programs for incarcerated crabs, lobsters, and shrimp

Senate Bill 4129, Relating to Education: Requires public schools to teach zoology with a focus on shrimp

- Approval to retain special counsel to represent the Board in Heinz Cocktail Sauce v. State Board of Shrimp Affairs.
- 8. Revocation of coconut-crusted shrimp pupu license for Pilau Bar & Grille.
- Hiring of Secretary/Fry Cook II position. The board anticipates convening an executive meeting to interview candi-

Version 2: What to do (page two)

dates for the position pursuant to Haw. Rev. Stat. §§ 92-4 and 92-5(a)(2).

10. Gifts, Grants, and Contracts:

Grant to tour and compare shrimp trucks of Oahu Grant to film documentary, "The Natural Life of Shrimp" Contract with Crustacean Consultants to study feasibility of aquatourism industry on Kauai Contract with Columbia Studios for Hawaii shrimp placement in "From Here to Eternity" remake Bequest of \$25,000 from estate of Crevette Etouffee.

- 11. Correspondence from Kahoolawe County Council: Seeking Board's comments on a proposed shrimp-themed resort hotel
- 12. Proposals to increase population of aquarium shrimp
- 13. Proposals to deal with giant mantis shrimp in the Ala Wai Canal
- 14. Report by designated investigators:

New slurp gun

Crustaceans Galore Wholesalers: financial position

15. Announcements:

New board website

Winner of student Shrimp Bee

Next meeting date and time

- 16. Open Forum: Public comment on issues not on the agenda, for consideration for Board's agenda at the next meeting
- 17. Adjournment

HOW TO TESTIFY:

Written testimony can be emailed to the board at shrimp@hawaii.gov, mailed or delivered in person to Prawn Vista, Pier 38, Makai Side, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96813, or faxed to 808-588-8889.

Oral testimony will be accepted via the remote testimony link or in person at the physical meeting location, as listed on page 1.

HOW TO REQUEST ACCOMMODATION:

If you need an auxiliary aid/service or other accommodation due to a disability, contact Giovanni Brine at 808-588-8888 and shrimp@hawaii.gov as soon as possible, preferably by June 29, 2025. Requests made as early as possible have a greater likelihood of being fulfilled.

Upon request, this notice is available in alternate/accessible formats.

MEETING MATERIALS AND OTHER INFORMATION:

The board packet will be posted on the Board's website at www.shrimp.hawaii.gov at least two business days before the meeting. Written testimony will also be posted on the Board's website as it is received. You can also find links to minutes, agendas, and meeting materials for the Board's past meetings on the website.

Office of Information Practices (September 2022)

Sunshine Law: PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE CHECKLIST 1. Notice Includes: **Date:** In addition to the date itself, if the notice also specifies the day of the week, make sure it matches the date. **Time:** While the starting time must be provided, an ending time is not required. Location: All notices must list at least one physical location for the meeting. For an in-person meeting, the notice must list all locations where board members will be physically present and must state that the public can attend the meeting at any of those locations. For a remote meeting using interactive conference technology (ICT), the link(s) allowing the public to contemporaneously view and hear the meeting and provide remote oral testimony. If additional locations (formerly known as "courtesy" locations) are being provided for the public's convenience, specify whether the meeting will continue without the additional location if the ICT connection between the additional location and the public meeting site(s) is lost, or will be automatically recessed to restore communication. Board's electronic and postal contact information for submission of testimony before the meeting. **Instructions** on how to request an auxiliary aid or service or an accommodation due to a disability. The Sunshine Law allows these instructions to include a reasonable response deadline; however, the

OIP Notice Checklist Rev. September 2022

requirements of other laws may differ on this point and current guidance from the State Disability and Communication Access Board (DCAB) advises against setting a firm response deadline. As explained in section 7 below, OIP does not have the authority to advise on reasonable accommodations and such questions should be directed to DCAB or a board's own attorney.

	Agenda describing with reasonable specificity all matters to be considered.
	If an executive meeting is anticipated, the agenda describes the purpose and statutory authority in section 92-5(a), HRS, or other laws applicable to your board that allow the executive meeting. Use as much detail as possible without compromising the executive meeting's purpose.
	Optional: For a meeting using ICT , information about what will happen in the event of a connection failure, such as where to find reconnection information and any necessary visual aids online or an alternative date, time, and place for continuation of the meeting if the ICT connection cannot be restored.
2.	Filing Notice:
	6 calendar days prior to meeting:
	Electronically post on: State Calendar: http://calendar.ehawaii.gov/calendar/html/event (State only) County Calendar (counties only) Board's website (unlike the above, this is not a legal requirement)
	Physically post for public inspection in: Board's Office Site of meeting (when feasible or if meeting is canceled)
	File (and keep proof of filing) with: Lieutenant Governor's Office (State) County Clerk (counties)
	Mail or email to persons who requested notification of meetings (MUST be postmarked/emailed no later than 6 calendar days before the meeting): Postal mailing list Email list
3.	Meeting Canceled for Late Filing of Notice:
	It is suggested but not required that the board post a notice canceling the meeting at:
	☐ Meeting site
	State Calendar: http://calendar.ehawaii.gov/calendar/html/event (State only)
OIP N	fotice Checklist Rev. September 2022

 County Calendar (counties only) Anywhere else notice was previously posted, mailed, or filed such as county or board website (not a legal requirement)
4. Special Instructions for Emergency Meetings (held less than 6 calendar days prior to meeting):
 Board must first decide to hold emergency meeting by vote of two-thirds of members to which board is entitled (include authorized but vacant positions)
 Must meet criteria in section 92-8, HRS, either: when "imminent peril to the public health, safety, or welfare," or because of an "unanticipated event" and board must take action. For an unanticipated event, the Attorney General must concur (even for county boards).
File board's findings justifying emergency meeting with emergency agenda as set forth in section 2 above (but without the 6-day notice requirement).
5. Special Instructions for Limited Meetings
 Special Instructions for Limited Meetings Limited meetings not open to the public may be held when a board determines it necessary to inspect a location that is dangerous or that is impracticable for public attendance.
 Limited meetings not open to the public may be held when a board determines it necessary to inspect a location that is dangerous or that is
 Limited meetings not open to the public may be held when a board determines it necessary to inspect a location that is dangerous or that is impracticable for public attendance. Must obtain concurrence from OIP's Director. See OIP's Request for the Office of Information Practices' Concurrence
 Limited meetings not open to the public may be held when a board determines it necessary to inspect a location that is dangerous or that is impracticable for public attendance. Must obtain concurrence from OIP's Director. See OIP's Request for the Office of Information Practices' Concurrence for a Limited Meeting form at www.oip.hawaii.gov/forms/. For county councils only: See OIP's Checklist and County Council's Request to Waive Videotaping of a Meeting as Guests of a Board or
 Limited meetings not open to the public may be held when a board determines it necessary to inspect a location that is dangerous or that is impracticable for public attendance. Must obtain concurrence from OIP's Director. <u>See</u> OIP's Request for the Office of Information Practices' Concurrence for a Limited Meeting form at www.oip.hawaii.gov/forms/. For county councils only: See OIP's Checklist and County Council's Request to Waive Videotaping of a Meeting as Guests of a Board or Community Group form at www.oip.hawaii.gov/forms/.

OIP Notice Checklist Rev. September 2022

6. Special Instructions for In-Person Meetings Involving Board Members with a Disability

- Notwithstanding the general requirements for multi-site in-person meetings in section 1 above, a "board member with a disability that limits or impairs the member's ability to physically attend the meeting" may attend an inperson meeting via a connection by **audio and video** means from a private location (e.g., home or hospital room). The specific address of the private location need not be listed on the notice, but a board member with a disability attending from a private location must generally identify the location (e.g., home; hospital) and all persons present with the member.
 - See OIP's Quick Review: Sunshine Law Requirements for In-Person Meetings held at Multiple Sites on OIP's Training Page at oip.hawaii.gov.

7. Other Considerations

There are matters outside of OIP's jurisdiction that you may wish to consider when preparing a meeting notice, such as:

- Although the Sunshine Law requires a notice to include instructions for requesting a reasonable accommodation for disabled persons (for example, provision of sign language interpreters for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing), OIP does not have authority to advise as to what constitutes a reasonable accommodation. If you have questions about what accommodations or auxiliary aids must be provided in response to a request, you may wish to contact your board's attorney or DCAB: website https://health.hawaii.gov/dcab/, telephone (808) 586-8121 (Voice or TTY), or email dcab@doh.hawaii.gov for assistance.
- Applicable statutes or administrative rules related to your board.
- For county boards, your County's applicable charter, ordinances, or other provisions.
- Your board's own procedural rules or policies; or instructions for the public regarding, among other things, your board's preferred method for submission of written testimony and opportunity to provide oral testimony at the meeting (but note that the Sunshine Law does not allow all testimony to be taken at the beginning of a meeting and does not authorize setting a deadline for

OIP Notice Checklist Rev. September 2022

submission of testimony or requiring people to register for oral testimony: a board can **request**, **but not require**, pre-registration or submission by a specified date).

Whether the public can find and get into the meeting site. For example, is the
meeting site large enough that someone might have trouble finding the right
room? Are there improper barriers to public access such as a security
checkpoint requiring attendees to show identification?

OIP Notice Checklist Rev. September 2022